

Review Paper: A Literature Review of Laser Assisted Periodontal Therapy Efficacy and Safety

Shabnam Aghayan¹, Neda Abolhoseini¹, Maryam Oloumi^{1*}

¹ Department of Periodontology, Faculty of Dentistry, Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran



Citation Aghayan Sh, Abolhoseini N, Oloumi M. A Literature Review of Laser Assisted Periodontal Therapy Efficacy and Safety. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery. 2024; 13(1): 17-30

 <http://dx.doi.org/10.32592/3dj.13.1.17>

ABSTRACT



Article info:

Received: 12 Jan 2024

Accepted: 25 Feb 2024

Available Online: 02 Mar 2024

Keywords:

*Dentistry

*Periodontal Disease

*Laser- Therapy

This study aims to compare previous studies using lasers to evaluate the efficacy and safety of laser therapy adjacent to traditional methods. PubMed and Cochrane search engines were used to identify previous studies of laser assisted periodontal therapy. 29 articles were selected after applying specific keywords, additional filters, inclusion and exclusion criteria between the years 2018 and 2024 out of 107,255 articles. The results have indicated the efficacy of Nd:YAG, diode and CO₂ laser for frenectomy. A single study using Er:YAG showed a decrease in operation and bleeding time. Er:YAG, Er, Cr:YSGG and diode have shown an efficacy for second-stage surgery of submerged implants. 2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG used for crown lengthening surgery have shown a stable height increase after surgery unlike the conventional group. Nd:YAG showed a significant difference while Er,Cr:YSGG haven't in gingivectomy and osteotomy. Significant improved healing was shown using a ER:YAG and 660,940 and 980 nm diode for regenerative surgical therapy. Laser techniques using a 2940 nm Er:YAG and 980 nm Diode laser were efficient for gingival depigmentation. 1064 nm Nd:YAG showed a significant difference while 810 nm diode, PDT and Er:YAG laser haven't in comparison with the traditional method in reduction of bacteria. Studies reported a significant reduction in the average dental pain range using 808, 940, 980 nm diode and 2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG laser. laser assisted treatments in variety of peridontal therapies was efficient and safe considering the wavelength and type of laser for each therapy.

* Corresponding Authors:

Maryam Oloumi

Address: Dentistry Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Tel: +98133348416

E-mail: maryamoloumi1225@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The word laser is an abbreviation for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. As photonic therapy lasers have been used in periodontics since the 1980s, with the first reports of use in periodontal surgery (1,2).

The lasers used in periodontics are divided into two groups: high-power lasers and low-power lasers. High-power lasers (HPL) can be used in soft or bone periodontal surgery and for sulcular debridement of periodontal pocket and root decontamination or as a scaling and root planing (SRP) technique (3).

Nd:YAG laser was introduced by Geusic et al, in 1964 (4). However, its application was abandoned due to its adverse effects on dental hard tissue until 1990 when the pulse mode was introduced. In dentistry, lasers are used for biostimulation and surgery. Bio-stimulation procedures such as healing enhancement are done by low level lasers which run at 500 mW power. In contrast, surgical lasers, also called high intensity lasers, such as CO₂, Nd:YAG, Er:YAG, Er,Cr:YSGG, and diode lasers operate at powers beyond 500 mW. Diode laser plays a significant role in dental procedures. Laser wavelengths in the range of 810 to 1064 nm are well absorbed by pigmented tissues, such as hemoglobin, melanin, and collagen. This type of laser incises the soft tissue in contact mode by a hot charred glass tip, and not by the laser beam because the wavelengths in the range of 810-1100 nm are poorly absorbed by the soft tissue. This type of laser is an excellent soft tissue surgical laser, and surgery can be performed safely as these wavelengths are poorly absorbed by the hard tissue. Similarly, Nd:YAG lasers use the same chromophores as the diode lasers to cut and ablate the soft tissue. The available dental wavelength is 1064 nm which provides sufficient depth to seal the damaged blood and lymphatic vessels and nerve endings, and leads to good hemostasis and minimal postoperative pain. However, some laser wavelengths such as the Er:YAG lasers cannot seal the damaged blood vessels effectively during tissue ablation due to their optical absorption being much lower than that of blood vessels (5-8).

The first laser invented for use on both hard and soft tissues was the CO₂ laser. It is still the most appropriate surgical laser for the soft tissue since both accurate incision and hemostasis are achieved at the same time. This laser at a wavelength of 10600 nm is readily available on the market only for soft tissue surgery. Another type of this laser with 9300 nm wavelength is also being used due to its ability for use on both soft and hard tissues. CO₂ laser at a wavelength of 10600 nm is absorbed by water, causing non-specific tissue damage. It may be used in focused mode for

tissue incision or in defocused mode for tissue vaporization while sealing blood vessels of 0.5 mm in diameter, which results in effective hemostasis. The penetration depth of CO₂ laser is a thousand times lower than that of diode laser, which results in a thin thermal damage zone following incision (9-11). The erbium lasers with the wavelength range of 2780-2940 are capable of both hard and soft tissue ablation, but their coagulating ability is poor due to an optical absorption much lower than that of blood vessels compared with CO₂ laser. Hard tissue procedures show an excellent healing response. The new CO₂ laser with a wavelength of 9300 nm is the newest alternative to erbium lasers for both soft and hard tissue surgical procedures. High intensity lasers have numerous applications in periodontics, as for gingivectomy, osteotomy, and frenectomy. Use of surgical lasers provides an effective tool to increase efficiency, site specificity, and patient comfort during and after treatment compared with conventional procedures. For many intraoral soft and hard tissue surgical procedures, laser therapy is an optimal alternative to conventional treatments (11-13).

2. Materials and Methods

A limited literature search was conducted in PubMed Central, Science Direct, Wiley Online Library, Springer, and Google Scholar from 2018 until 2023. The search strategy included the use of the following combination of key words: High intensity lasers in dentistry OR low intensity lasers in dentistry AND laser-assisted AND periodontal therapy AND high intensity lasers (Nd:YAG, Er:YAG, Er,Cr:YSGG, Diode, CO₂) OR low intensity lasers (PDT,PBM) AND gingivectomy OR crown lengthening OR frenectomy OR osteotomy OR SRP OR pain reduction OR bacteria reduction OR regenerative procedure OR implant second-stage surgery. Hand searching was also performed. A total of **107,255** articles were identified in the literature search. Following screening of the titles and abstracts and applying other exclusion criteria, finally, 29 articles were selected for the final review.

Study Selection: Inclusion criteria: i having a periodontal involvement ii Only open access articles [full] iii Studies were done on humans iv Articles mention details of lasers used v Published since 2018 Exclusion criteria:

i Articles are written in languages other than English ii Case reports, case series, cross section iii Studies involving cell/tissue cultures and not a whole organism iv Incomplete data v Full text not available

3. Results & Discussion

Results for laser-assisted frenectomy: Laser-assisted frenectomy in many cases minimize pain and discomfort

generally in comparison with conventional periodontal frenectomy. A total of 6 articles in this respect were reviewed (31-34 and 41-42).

A published article used 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser for laser-assisted frenectomy compared with the conventional scalpel technique (42). The results showed less transoperative and postoperative bleeding, less need for suturing and fewer functional complications in terms of chewing and speech and a reduction in surgical time. Amongst the six studies that used 980 nm diode laser for frenectomy (31-33), two studies compared laser surgery with the conventional technique (32,33). One study reported a significant increase in terms of keratinized gingiva width, attached gingiva width, and attached gingiva thickness but there was no significant difference between the laser surgery and the conventional group (33). They also reported minimal discomfort and functional complications in the laser-treated group. All three studies reported normal healing, minimal or no postoperative complications in terms of pain, swelling, and bleeding, and significantly better healing outcomes (31-33). One study compared 980 nm diode laser with 10600 nm CO2 laser for frenectomy (31). The CO2 laser caused faster healing, minimal gingival recession, and less bleeding compared with diode laser. A significant improvement in clinical attachment loss and a significant decrease in periodontal pocket were observed after using 980 nm diode laser, but there was no significant change regarding clinical attachment loss in the CO2 laser group. Both methods effectively decreased pain, but diode laser alleviated pain more quickly. Another study compared 810 nm diode laser with conventional surgery and found no healing complications, no recurrence, and similar probing depth in both groups, but plaque index and gingival index were significantly lower in the laser-treated group (34). A single study compared 2940 nm Er:YAG laser with conventional surgery and found no significant difference in scar tissue formation. The operation time and bleeding time were significantly lower in the laser group. Directly after surgery, the wound was significantly larger in the laser-treated group, but no difference was found after 5 days (41).

Result for laser-assisted second-stage surgery of submerged implants: Implants when placed in the oral cavity can follow one-stage or two-stage protocol.(43) In one-stage protocol, implants are placed along with healing abutment, known as the “submerged” or “delayed” approach. The two-stage implant placement was the original Brånemark et al. procedure and was advocated by this pioneer as a way of promoting stress-free integration of implants within the mandible (44). In

a second surgical procedure, the implant is exposed, its cover screw removed, and the implant is then fitted with an abutment and the prosthetic phase of treatment will be completed (28). The three studies reviewed in this respect have shown a significant difference using a laser versus the conventional technique (25,28,29). The study using a Er:YAG laser has indicated higher PD reduction in laser group than the control group, however there were no significant found in CAL or bone gain (25). Another study reviewed was comparing a 940 nm diode with a Er, Ch: YSGG laser and a conventional method. Operation duration under Er, Cr:YSGG were much faster than the diode laser, however the results were not statistically significant. The operation time under two lasers were faster than the conventional scalpel group. The time taken for healing was faster in the Er, Cr:YSGG laser group than the diode laser and the scalpel group. VAS scale at day 0 was higher in the conventional group than the two laser groups (28). and the other study was using a 808 nm diode (29). The surgical time was shorter in the Laser group and bleeding was present only in the Control Group at the end of surgery.

Result for crown lengthening surgery: Interdisciplinary dentistry comes to play and specialists coordinate to salvage the teeth through a crown lengthening procedure to expose sufficient tooth structure to facilitate proper restoration as well as enhance esthetic appearance (5). Two studies were reviewed both using a 2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG laser (15,19). The gingival margin of the laser group remained at stable height increase after surgery, while the gingival margin of traditional group showed both recession and rebounding (15). There was a significant difference of the VAS scores, with the patients in the laser group displaying significantly lower VAS scores for discomfort compared with the scalpel. On comparing the healing scores there was no statistical difference seen (19).

Results regarding laser-assisted gingivectomy and osteotomy: Laser-assisted gingivectomy is promoted for both esthetic and restorative purposes. Of the retrieved articles, a study used Nd:YAG laser for gingivectomy which showed a significant decrease in the total operative time, pain duration, pain intensity and and healing time in the laser group in comparison with routine surgery group (17). no significant differences were among laser surgery group and other surgical groups in GI, PLI, PD. a study that used Er,Cr:YSGG laser for treatment of intrabony defects reported that The following primary and secondary outcome variables were noninferior with the following margins: CAL, PD and REC (20).

Table 1. Characteristics of the reviewed studies

| Objective | Year | Materials an Methods | Laser | Result | Treatment |
|--|------|--|---|--|--|
| Antibacterial Effects of Photodynamic and Diode Laser Therapies as Adjunctive Treatments in Periodontitis (14) | 2023 | Group A: Laser Group B: PDT 12 patients at the age of 30-60. | Diode • 810 nm • 1 w • rotational movement • 300 µm PDT photosan system (DK-2800; CMS Dental, Copenhagen, Denmark) and the light sensitive high-viscosity material of toluidine blue | Adjunctive treatment with laser and PDT can reduce periopathogenic bacteria rates being less remarkable in the early weeks (2 weeks), while it became more profound in the final weeks of the study (6 weeks). Evaluation of the effects of treatments on clinical parameters indicated that the clinical signs improved, especially for the BOP parameter, in which the changes were more significant in the final weeks of the study (6 weeks) | Nonsurgical (reduction of periodontopathogenic bacteria) |
| Flapless Er,Cr:YSGG laser versus traditional flap in crown lengthening procedure (15) | 2021 | Group A: Laser Group B: control 25 patients at the age of 22-69. | Er,Cr:YSGG • 2780 nm | The results demonstrated a significant increase in clinical crown length instantly after surgery in both groups. After a three-month follow-up, the gingival margin of the laser group remained at stable height with 0.17 +/- 0.31 mm increase after surgery, while the gingival margin of traditional group showed both recession and rebounding by - 0.13 +/- 0.63 mm average. | Surgical (crown lengthening surgery) |
| Evaluation of Electrosurgery and Diode Laser in Gingival Depigmentation (16) | 2022 | Group I: electrosurgery Group II: diode laser 40 patients. | Diode | No significant difference was noted between the two groups for pain assessment at 7 days. Both electrosurgery and laser group showed significant differences between intraoperative time period to 24 hours and 24 hours to 7 days. | Surgical (Removal of melanin pigmentation) |
| Efcacy of three surgical methods for gingivectomy of permanent anterior teeth with delayed tooth eruption in children (17) | 2022 | Group A: laser surgery Group B: electrosurgery Group c: routine surgery 63 patients at the age of 7-13. | Group A received a laser ablation of hypertrophic gingiva (Device: LightWalker, brand: Fotona, model: M021-5AF/1, Nd: pulse width: SP; Pulse frequency: 50 Hz; Average output power: 4.5 W) to expose the impacted crown. Laser hemostasis (Nd: pulse width: VLP; pulse frequency: 20 Hz; average | There was a significant diference in total operative time, pain duration, pain intensity, and healing time between laser surgery group (group A) and routine surgery group (group C). There were not significant diferences among laser surgery group (group A), electrosurgery group (group B), and routine surgery group (group C) in GI, PLI, PD of eruptive permanent teeth after six months of treatment. | Surgical (gingivectomy) |

output
power: 4.00 W) was
used to control
bleeding

Comparative
evaluation of the
efficacy of diode
laser as an adjunct
to modified
Widman flap
surgery for the
treatment of
chronic
periodontitis: A
randomized
split-mouth clinical
trial ([18](#))

2021

Group 1:
MWF surgery
Group 2:
MWF surgery with adjunctive
diode laser de-epithelialization
and biostimulation
20 patients at the age of 25-45.

Diode
• 980 nm, 400-
micron diameter
and disposable
fiber-optic tip at 1.5
W in contact mode
at 45° angle to the
inner aspect of the
flap was used to
remove the
remaining
epithelium

MWF surgery along with
diode laser led to a significant
improvement in Group 2
compared to Group 1 in
clinical parameters such as
PPD, relative clinical
attachment level, VAS score as
well as microbial parameter
CFU/ml after 3 months.

Surgical (flap de-
epithelialization in
regenerative
procedure)

Comparison of Flap
Techniques for
Crown
Lengthening
Procedures Using
an All Tissue Laser
(Waterlase Iplus®)
and Conventional
Surgical Flaps: A
Cross Sectional
Study ([19](#))

2022

Group A:
Laser assisted crown
lengthening with osseous
reduction using WATERLASE
IPLUS®
Group B:
Apically displaced flap with
osseous reduction
21 patients at the age of 19-45.

The all tissue laser
(**WATERLASE
IPLUS®**)
composed of an
erbium, chromium:
yttrium-scandium-
galliumgarnet
(Er;Cr:YSGG) laser

Intergroup comparison of the
VAS scores for discomfort
observed at baseline after the
procedure and 7th day of the
study suggested that there was
a significant difference of the
VAS scores, with the patients
in the laser group displaying
significantly lower VAS scores
for discomfort compared with
the scalpel. On comparing the
healing scores using Landry's
simplified healing index there
was no statistical difference
seen.

Surgical (crown
length (crown
lengthening surgery)

A comparison of
Er,Cr:YSGG laser to
minimally invasive
surgical technique
in the treatment of
intra-bony defects:
Twelve-month
results of a
multicenter,
randomized,
controlled study
([20](#))

2023

Clinical measurements were
recorded at baseline, 4 to 6
weeks following SRP, and 6
and 12 months following
surgical therapy.
Radiographic results were
compared to baseline at 6 and
12 months following surgical
therapy.
53 patients at the age of 19-73.

Er,Cr:YSGG laser

The following primary and
secondary outcome variables
were noninferior with the
following margins: CAL with a
non-inferiority margin of 0.7
mm, PD with a non-inferiority
margin of 0.7 mm, and REC
with a non-inferiority margin
of 0.4 mm. Standardized
radiographs suggest similar
bone fill of 1.14 ± 1.73 mm for
MIST and 1.12 ± 1.52 mm for
ERL.

Surgical (osteotomy
and osteoplasty)

Effect of Diode
Laser-assisted Flap
Surgery on
Postoperative
Healing and
Clinical
Parameters: A
Randomized
Controlled Clinical
Trial ([21](#))

2018

Test group:
Flap surgery with adjunctive
diode laser irradiation
Control group:
conventional access flap
surgery
23 patients at the age of 25-60.

Diode

. There is no significant
difference between the groups
however, patients experienced
more pain in test sites
compared to control sites.
Intragroup comparisons
showed a statistically
significant reduction of all
clinical parameters from
baseline to 6 months without
any significant difference
between the groups.

Surgical (flap de-
epithelialization in
regenerative
procedure)

Clinical Evaluation
of Diode Laser-
Assisted

2021

Test site:
MWF + activeDL
Control site:

Diode
• 810 nm, 400-
micron diameter

All bacteria were significantly
decreased at follow-up times
compared with preoperative

Surgical and
nonsurgical

| | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|---|---|
| Surgical Periodontal Therapy: A Randomized Split- Mouth Clinical Trial and Bacteriological Study (22) | | MFW alone 18 patients. | and disposable fiber-optic tip at 1W in continuous wave mode (power density 796 w/cm2) | amounts in both therapy sites. All clinical parameters significantly improved after MWF surgery at follow-up times compared with preoperative values in intragroup comparisons, but a significant difference was not detected in the intergroup comparison. | |
| The Clinical and Microbiological Effects of LANAP Compared To Scaling and Root Planing Alone in the Management of Periodontal Conditions (23) | 2023 | Group 1: SRP as monotherapy Group 2: LANAP (the application Of the Nd:YAG laser in the periodontal pockets, scaling and root planing, followed by a Second application of the Nd:YAG laser) 14 patients at the age of 36-67. | Nd:YAG laser 1064 nm (Lightwalker AT-S, Fotona@ Ljubljana, Slovenia | Both nonsurgical periodontal therapies were proven effective in Patients with chronic periodontal disease; however, LANAP was associated with a greater reduction In pocket depth and improved clinical outcomes, associated with a significant decrease in the amount Of Porphyromonas gingivalis. The clinical results included a decrease in periodontal pocket depth, Bleeding on probing, and dental plaque, with LANAP having better overall outcomes than SRP alone | Nonsurgical (reduction of periodontopathogenic bacteria) |
| Efficacy of Er:YAG laser on periodontitis as an adjunctive non-surgical treatment: a split- mouth randomized controlled study (24) | 2019 | test quadrants: Er:YAG laser (ERL) plus SRP control quadrants: SRP only 27 patients at the age of 35-70. | Er:YAG laser (ERL) 100mJ/pulse;15 Hz to hard tissue and 50 mJ/pulse; 30 Hz to soft tissue | The PD and CAL means in the ERL+SRP group were significantly lower than those in the SRP group at 3-month follow-up. There were no significant differences in BI and PLI between two groups. | Nonsurgical (reduction of periodontopathogenic bacteria) |
| Laser-assisted regenerative surgical therapy for Peri-implantitis: A randomized controlled clinical trial (25) | 2020 | control group : surgical Regenerative therapy, involving mechanical debridement And GBR. test group: adjunctive Laser irradiation in addition to mechanical debridement Prior to bone grafting. Control group patient also received A shame application of the laser on a wet gauze intraorally For masking purposes. 24 patients. | Er:YAG laser AdvErL EVO, J. MORITA MFG. CORP, Kyoto, Japan. (Laser tips: PS600T, PSM600T, and R600T) | Both groups showed significant reductions in PD, GI, and CAL gain Overtime. The test group demonstrated significantly higher PD reductions at the Site level compared to the control group (There were no statistical differences found in CAL gain , GI reduction , radiographic linear bone gain or proportional defect size reductionThere was a positive Trend for test patients on PD reduction and CAL gain found in narrow infrabony Defects. Major membrane exposure negatively impaired the overall treatmentOutcome of CAL gain and PD reduction in the test group | Surgical (second- stage surgery of submerged implants) |
| Effect of a 980 nm diode laser on post | 2021 | control group: final irrigation was performed | Diode • 980 nm | The average pain level in the control group 24 h after the | Nonsurgical (reduction of |

operative pain after endodontic treatment in teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial (26)

using 5 ml of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), followed by 5 ml of 17% and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 5 ml of distilled water
laser group:
the root canals were irradiated using a 980-nm diode laser after the final irrigation at both visits
60 patients at the age of 18-65.

first visit was significantly higher than that in the laser group. The average pain level 24 h and 48 h after the second visit was significantly higher in the control group. The levels of PP 24 h after the first visit were higher than those after the second visit only in the control group. After the first visit, analgesic use in the control group was significantly higher after 8 h (40%) and 24 h (23%) as compared with that in the laser group.

postoperative pain)

Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain and tissue response in patients undergoing conventional flap surgeries with or without 940 nm diode laser exposure - A randomized clinical study (27)

2022

Group A and C:
Kirkland flap surgery with laser and modified Widman flap (MWF) with laser
Group B and D:
Kirkland flap surgery and MWF surgery
10 patients at the age of 25-55.

Group A:
an arsenide, indium, and gallium **diode** laser Epic X- BIOLASE California, USA possessing a wavelength of 940 ± 10 nm and a power of 1.5 watt involved in a continuous non-contact mode for 30 s
Group C:
an indium, gallium, and arsenide DL (Epic X Biolase) with a wavelength of 940 ± 10 nm and a power of 1.5 watt was irradiated involved in a continuous non-contact mode for 30 s

Statistically significant difference was attained with postoperative discomfort in laser-assisted groups on 1st and 3rd day postoperatively. There was no significant difference in the proportion of subjects with gingival inflammation. A statistically significant reduction in mean PPD at 6 months postoperatively was seen among all study groups but the inter-group difference was not statistically significant. SBI score reduced significantly from baseline to 6 months follow-up among all four groups. However, we did not find the inter-group difference to be statistically non-significant.

Surgical (reduction of postoperative pain)

Comparison of clinical efficacy of diode laser and erbium, chromium: Yttrium, scandium, gallium, and garnet for implant stage 2 recovery procedure - A randomized control clinical study (28)

2021

Group 1 patients (n = 10): had implant recovery using diode laser
Group 2 (n = 10):
implant recovery with Er, Cr:YSGG
Group 3 (n = 10):
conventional scalpel method
30 patients at the age of 25-45.

Diode
• 940 nm
surgical F4 400 µm tip, 2.5 W power, average power: 1.25 W, pulse length CP2: 1.00 ms, pulse interval: 1.00 ms, duty cycle 50%, pulsative mode
Er, Ch: YSGG
300 mJ, 18 Hz, water cooling at 40%, energy density per pulse: 38.21 J/cm², tip size: 1.0 mm × 17 mm, distance: 2 mm, tip angle set at 70°, no anesthesia

Results were considered to be statistically significant. Operation duration under Er, Cr:YSGG were much faster than the diode laser, however the results were not statistically significant. The operation time under Er, Ch: YSGG and laser were faster than the conventional scalpel group. The time taken for healing was faster in the Er, Cr:YSGG laser group than the diode laser and the scalpel group. VAS scale at day 0 was higher in the conventional group than the two laser groups.

Surgical (second-stage surgery of submerged implants)

High-power diode

2020

Control group (n = 7):

diode (Thera Lase

The surgical time was shorter

Surgical (second-

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| laser for second-stage implant surgery: a randomized pilot clinical trial (29) | | conventional scalpel technique Laser group (n = 8): HPL-assisted technique 15 patients at the age of 18 or older. | Surgery™, DMC Ltda, Brazil) • 808 nm wavelength (continuous wave mode, optical fiber 400 µm, output power 1.5 W) | in the Laser Group than in the Control Group, with a statistically significant difference. Bleeding was present only in the Control Group at the end of surgery and on the seventh day, with a statistically significant difference between groups by Fisher's Exact test No patient complained of pain immediately after surgery, as well as on the fifteenth day. Furthermore, no statistically significant difference was obtained between groups on the seventh day by the Mann-Whitney test. With regards to peri-implant mucosa status, all cases had healthy peri-implant mucosa both immediately after surgery and on the fifteenth day; however, only one patient of the Control Group presented mucositis on the seventh day, data without statistically significant difference between groups by Fisher's Exact test. Likewise, 2 patients of Control group and 1 of Laser Group reported pain medication use only on the first day after surgery, data without statistically significant difference by Fisher's Exact test. | stage surgery of submerged implants) |
|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|

| | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|---|---|
| Effectiveness of Low-Level Laser Therapy during Tooth Movement: A Randomized Clinical Trial (30) | 2019 | Diode laser and control group 41 patients at the age of mean 13.4± 2.1 years, range 10.2-18.4 years . | high-power diode laser (Thera Lase Surgery™, DMC Ltda, Brazil) • 808 nm wavelength (continuous wave mode, optical fiber 400 µm, output power 1.5 W) Diode laser operating at 980 nm (Wiser Laser Doctor Smile, Lambda, Brendola, Italy) with a wavelength of 810 nm (1 W of output power, a continuous wave of 66.7 J/cm2) and 0.6 mm optic with fiber. | The test side (diode laser) showed a significant reduction in the average range of dental pain due to orthodontic traction after first laser treatment application. At a further 6-month follow-up check, none of the patients enrolled presented any clinical periodontal damage, such as signs of gingivitis or initial signs of root resorption. | Nonsurgical (reduction of postoperative pain) |
|--|------|--|--|---|---|

| | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Diode versus CO2 laser therapy in the treatment of high labial | 2020 | Diode group and CO2 group 26 patients at the age of 7-12. | High power Diode Parameters: •980 nm •2.5 W | - Both lasers showed a good performance but diode laser had better results in wound healing, gingival recession, | Surgical (frenulectomy) |
|--|------|--|--|--|-------------------------|

| | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| frenulum attachment (31) | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuous mode • 1.000 Hz CO2 laser Parameters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10600 nm • 4.5 W • Super pulse wave • 80 Hz | <p>periodontal parameters and pain</p> <p>- CO2 laser group showed fewer bleeding cases on average compared with the diode laser treatment.</p> | |
| Diode laser versus conventional technique for Frenectomy (32) | 2019 | 20 patients at the age of 18-45. | <p>High power Diode</p> <p>Parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 980 nm • 1.5 W • contact mode | <p>1) Significantly better healing in the diode laser group.</p> <p>2) Less pain and discomfort</p> <p>3) Better healing of the test side at 1 and 7-day recall visits.</p> <p>4) Bleeding and redness immediately after surgery were less in the test side than the control side</p> | Surgical (frenulectomy) |
| Patients perceptions and clinical efficacy of labial frenectomies using diode laser versus conventional Techniques (33) | 2018 | <p>Diode group and conventional group</p> <p>36 patients at the age of 14-51.</p> | <p>Diode laser</p> <p>Parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 980 nm • 2.8 W • Continuous mode | <p>1) 100% of those in the scalpel group required local anesthesia; however, only 40% in the laser group required anesthesia. 2) No bleeding and no need for suturing in the laser group. 3) Significantly lower swelling and redness in the fifth day in the laser group. 4) Statistically significant gains in the keratinized gingiva width, attached gingiva width, and attached gingiva thickness after surgery in both groups; however, there was no significant difference between the study groups. 5) The diode laser group had lower visual analog scale discomfort and functional complication scores compared with scalpel surgery</p> | Surgical (frenulectomy) |
| Clinical efficacy of conventional and diode laser-assisted frenectomy in patients with different abnormal frenulum insertions (34) | 2020 | <p>Conventional group (n=36)</p> <p>Diode laser group (n=34)</p> <p>70 records were included</p> <p>20 patients at the age of 35.24 ± 11.69 years.</p> | <p>Diode laser</p> <p>Parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 810 nm • 2.5 W, 20 Hz • Short pulse duration (140 µs) | <p>1) No complications associated with postoperative healing in either group. 2) The frenulum attachment to the mucogingival junction declined significantly in both groups without any difference between them. 3) No recurrence in any patient at 6 weeks. 4) PI and GI were significantly higher in the conventional group, whereas PD was similar at 6 weeks</p> | Surgical (frenulectomy) |
| The evaluation of healing effect of low-level laser treatment following Gingivectomy (35) | 2020 | <p>A :test group consist of ten patients after gingivectomy a diode laser was applied to the wound area</p> <p>B :The control group (ten patients) did not receive laser</p> <p>20 patients at the age of 22-45.</p> | <p>Diode</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 660 nm | <p>Both groups showed similar results immediately post-surgery. At day 3 p.s., a better improvement was observed in wound healing for laser than control group.</p> <p>Significant improved healing for laser than control group was detected</p> | Surgical (gingivectomy) |

| | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | a significant reduction in VAS score was shown for laser compared to the control group | |
| Evaluation of surgical scalpel versus semiconductor diode laser techniques in the management of gingival melanin hyperpigmentation: A split-mouth randomized clinical comparative study (36) | 2020 | <p>bilateral physiologic melanin hyperpigmentation of gingiva (dark gums) in the anterior segment</p> <p>The depigmentation procedure was randomly allotted to either of the areas by the flip of a coin</p> <p>20 patients at the age of 20-35.</p> | <p>Diode</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 810 nm • 1.5-2.0-watt <p>continuous wave mode with the flexible fiberoptic delivery system, in a continuous contact mode</p> | <p>The mean of plaque and gingival indices scores were low at 9 months postoperatively compared to baseline. Moreover, there was a statistically significant difference was seen between the treatment groups for both indices</p> <p>Slight (55%)-to-moderate (45%) bleeding observed in quadrant which was operated surgical scraping and none of the surgical sites showed bleeding seen when operated with diode laser.</p> | Surgical (Removal of melanin pigmentation) |
| Gingival Depigmentation Using Diode 980 nm and Erbium-YAG 2940 nm Lasers: A Split-Mouth Clinical Comparative Study (37) | 2021 | <p>Facial gingiva of the anterior teeth and premolars of each jaw was divided into two halves. .e right or left side of each jaw quadrant randomly received either diode laser or erbium-YAG laser</p> <p>15 patients at the age of 28.6 ± 7.8.</p> | <p>Er:YAG laser</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2940 nm • emission mode free running pulse, 2 watts, 20 Hz, 1.5 ml/min H2O, and the tip in fiber-optic handpiece with 800 microns, noncontact mode, and 1 mm distance from the tissue for 600 seconds <p>Diode laser</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 980 nm <p>400µ tip in a fiber-optic handpiece using a power range of 0.80-1.10 watts with angulations of the tip 12.7 degrees 240-600 seconds</p> | <p>Both techniques were efficient for gingival depigmentation. Nevertheless, bleeding during surgery was statistically higher for Er:YAG laser technique as compared to diode laser. Wound healing showed statistically nonsignificant differences between the two lasers, although Er:YAG seems to give better outcomes than the diode.. patients were satisfied with both laser techniques during and after gingival depigmentation. However, the pain score was higher for Er:YAG laser than for diode laser</p> | Surgical (Removal of melanin pigmentation) |
| Evaluation of Treatment Outcome in Gingival Hyperpigmentation with Scalpel Vis-a-Vis Laser: A Split-Mouth Study (38) | 2021 | <p>Group A: Right half: upper and lower central incisors to premolars will be treated using diode laser</p> <p>Group B: Left half: upper and lower central incisors to premolars will be treated by Scalpel technique</p> <p>20 patients at the age of 18-35.</p> | <p>Diode</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 980 nm | <p>Pain and healing were comparable in both the groups, though intraoperative time consumed and bleeding was significantly lower for laser group. Recurrence also was significantly lower on the laser group</p> | Surgical (Removal of melanin pigmentation) |
| THE EFFECTS OF LOW LEVEL LASER THERAPY ON HEALING OF GINGIVA AFTER GINGIVECTOMY-AN IN VIVO STUDY (39) | 2019 | <p>The test group (15 sites) were irradiated with 940nm laser following gingivectomy the control group (15 sites) received placebo</p> <p>15 patients at the age of 18-45.</p> | <p>Diode</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 940 nm for 4 minutes at 120mW delivering 4J/cm2, with pulse interval of 50 milliseconds and pulse length of 10 | <p>The laser irradiated site healed earlier than the contra lateral side, indicating that laser application accelerates healing in gingivectomy wounds. The sites treated with LLLT showed statistically significant</p> | Surgical (flap de-epithelialization in regenerative procedure) |

| | | milliseconds energy | | |
|---|------|---|--|---|
| Evaluation of pain perception and wound healing after laser-assisted frenectomy in pediatric patient (40) | 2021 | Diode group: n=11 Er:YAG group: n=11 22 patients at the age of 8-13. | Diode <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •940 nm •1.5 W, 298.5 W/cm² •Continuous wave mode •Contact mode Er,Cr:YSGG <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parameters for incision: •Free-running pulsed laser •2.75 W, 50 Hz, 600 μs, 55 mJ/pulse, 22 J/cm² Parameters for laser bondage: •0.5 W, 30 Hz, 700 μs •Non-contact mode | 1) Er,Cr:YSGG group: significantly better wound healing results 2) No significant difference between 2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG laser and 940 nm diode laser groups in terms of pain |
| | | | | Surgical (reduction of postoperative pain) |
| Evaluation of upper labial frenectomy: A randomized, controlled comparative study of conventional scalpel technique and Er:YAG laser technique (41) | 2021 | Conventional group and Er:YAG group 40 patients at the age of 7-19. | Er:YAG <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parameters: Parameters for laser bondage: •0.5 W 2940 nm •150 mJ,10 Hz •Pulse duration of 1000 μs •(VLP mode) | 1) Significantly longer surgical antibiotics, no recurrence at 1 year time and bleeding in the conventional group. 2) Directly after surgery, the wound area was significantly larger in the laser group 3) No difference between the groups on the 5th day. 4) No difference in scar tissue formation 5) Faster surgical time and less bleeding in the laser group |
| | | | | Surgical (frenectomy) |
| Frenectomy with conventional scalpel and Nd:YAG laser technique (42) | 2019 | Group A scalpeltechnique (n=10) Group B: Nd:YAG laser (n=10) 20 patients. | Nd:YAG laser <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parameters: •1064 nm | Group B: less pain, less bleeding, fewer number of analgesics taken Healing outcome at 3 months showed no significant difference between the two groups |
| | | | | Surgical (frenectomy) |

Laser-assisted regenerative surgical therapy: From 5 articles about efficacy of laser in regenerative procedure ,4 studies showed statistically significant results in terms of pain perception and lower VAS score (18,25,35,39). In contrast a study detect that patients experienced more pain in test sites (Flap surgery with adjunctive diode laser irradiation).Significant improved healing for laser was shown (18,25,35,39). but no statistical differences found in CAL gain, GI reduction, radiographic linear bone gain or proportional defect size reduction by using Er:YAG laser (25) and also in a study reported no significant difference between the groups with respect to healing

response of tissues (21) but MWF surgery along with diode laser (980nm) led to a significant improvement in clinical parameters such as PPD, relative clinical attachment level as well as microbial parameter CFU/ml after 3 months (18).

Results for removal of melanin pigmentation: It was reported no significant difference between diode laser assisted group and another (36,16). However one study showed that Recurrence also was significantly lower on the 980 nm diode laser group (38). Also a study reported that Laser techniques using a 2940 nm Er:YAG laser and 980 nm Diode laser were efficient for gingival

depigmentation. Nevertheless, bleeding during surgery was statistically higher for Er:YAG laser technique as compared to diode laser (37).

Results regarding laser-assisted non-surgical periodontal therapy: Results for laser-assisted reduction of bacteria: The determining role in the progression of periodontal disease is played by oral cavity hygiene through the microbial factor, and the other favoring or predisposing factors (45,46). four articles reviewed evaluating the laser-assisted therapy of reduction of bacteria in periodontal diseases. An article comparing a 810 nm diode, PDT and control group of conventional therapy have shown that although therapies have shown a significant PD reduction and CAL in all three groups of laser, PDT and control from the baseline, but there was no significant difference between the treatment groups. The mean value of BOP significantly decreased from baseline in the laser and PDT treatment groups; however, it did not differ significantly in the control group (14). Other article used a 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser (LANAP therapy) and comparing to a SRP therapy alone. Improvements were detected statistically significant in the average revolution of the periodontal pocket depth, the visible plaque index, and bleeding on the probing index in both groups and the effects of the two treatments did not vary statistically (23). The other study used a Er:YAG laser. Within the groups, compared with baseline measurements, both therapies (ERL+SRP or SRP) produced significant reductions in the means of PD, CAL, BI, and PLI. ERL+SRP quadrants had lower PD and CAL means at 3-month follow-up than the control quadrants. However, the magnitudes of such differences between the two groups were very small. There were no significant differences in BI and PLI between ERL+SRP quadrants and control quadrants (24). Other study showed a significant decrease in bacteria using a 810 nm diode (22).

results about pain reduction by laser assisted procedures: A RCT study reviewed the effectiveness of 980 nm diode laser on post operative pain after endodontic treatment in teeth with apical periodontitis, reported significant higher average pain level in control group than in the laser group (26). Another study used a high power 808 nm diode laser reported a significant reduction in the average dental pain range, due to the orthodontic traction after the first laser treatment application (30). We observed a statistically significant reduction in the mean VAS score for postoperative pain among the study groups (27). Studies evaluating pain perception by laser-assisted frenectomy in pediatric

patients showed no significant difference between 2780 nm Er,Cr:YSGG laser and 940 nm diode laser groups in terms of pain, but both laser wavelengths were safe and efficient for frenectomies in pediatric patients with less pain (40).

- A limited number of articles evaluating laser-assisted gingivectomy and osteotomy since 2018
- The variety of articles evaluating different treatments using variety of lasers with different wavelengths was limited.

4. Conclusion

Although a Significant difference between the laser-assisted periodontal therapy in comparison with the conventional method through the studies reviewed was shown in the most studies reviewed, some of the studies haven't shown statically significant difference from the conventional method and some patients reported a minimal discomfort using lasers. Further research reviewing lasers with different wavelength with a wider range of procedures done is needed for a more reliable conclusion.

Ethical Considerations

Not applicable.

Funding

None.

Authors' Contributions

Shabnam Aghayan: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing **Neda Abolhoseini:** Writing - Original Draft, Data Curation, Supervision **Maryam Oloumi:** Resources, Investigation, Visualization.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

1. Pick RM, Pecaro BC, Silberman CJ. The laser gingivectomy. The use of the CO2 laser for the removal of phenytoin hyperplasia. J Periodontol. 1985;56(8):492-6. [DOI:10.1902/jop.1985.56.8.492] [PMID]
2. Theodoro LH, Garcia VG. Uso do laser e suas implicações como alternativa terapêutica na Periodontia e

- Implantodontia. In: Silva EB, Grisi DC. Periodontia no contexto interdisciplinar integrando as melhores práticas. Nova Odessa: Napoleão. 2015: 352-7. [\[Link\]](#)
3. Theodoro LH, Marcantonio RAC, Wainwright M, Garcia VG. LASER in periodontal treatment: is it an effective treatment or science fiction? *Braz Oral Res.* 2021;35(Suppl 2):e099. [\[DOI: 10.1590/1807-3107bor-2021.vol35.0099\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 4. Geusic JE, Marcos HM, Van Uitert LG. Laser oscillations in Nd-doped yttrium aluminum, yttrium gallium, and gadolinium garnets. *Appl Phys Lett.* 1964 ;4(10):182-4. [\[DOI:10.1063/1.1753928\]](#)
 5. Farista S, Kalakonda B, Koppolu P, Baroudi K, Elkhatat E, Dhaifullah E. Comparing Laser and Scalpel for Soft Tissue Crown Lengthening: A Clinical Study. *Glob J Health Sci.* 2016 ;8(10):55795. [\[DOI: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n10p73\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 6. Ganesh B, Burnice NKC, Mahendra J, Vijayalakshmi R, K AK. Laser-Assisted Lip Repositioning With Smile Elevator Muscle Containment and Crown Lengthening for Gummy Smile: A Case Report. *Clin Adv Periodontics.* 2019; 9(3): 135-41. [\[DOI: 10.1002/cap.10060\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 7. Civak T, Ustun T, Yilmaz HN, Gursay B. Postoperative evaluation of Er:YAG laser, piezosurgery, and rotary systems used for osteotomy in mandibular third-molar extractions. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg.* 2021;49(1):64-9. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jcms.2020.11.010\]](#)
 8. Giovannacci I, Giunta G, Pedrazzi G, Meleti M, Manfredi M, Migliari M, et al. Erbium Yttrium-Aluminum-Garnet Laser Versus Traditional Bur in the Extraction of Impacted Mandibular Third Molars: Analysis of Intra- and Postoperative Differences. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2018;29(8):2282-6. [\[DOI: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000004574\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 9. Passi D, Pal US, Mohammad S, Singh RK, Mehrotra D, Singh G, Kumar M, Chellappa AA, Gupta C. Laser vs bur for bone cutting in impacted mandibular third molar surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res.* 2013;3(2):57-62. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jobcr.2013.03.006\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 10. Giovannacci L, Meleti M, Bonanini M, Vescovi P. An autofluorescence guided surgical approach performed with Er: YAG laser and Nd: YAG low level laser therapy for medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *J Dent Indonesia.* 2015; 22 (2): 63-8. [\[DOI:10.14693/jdi.v22i2.486\]](#)
 11. Lione R, Pavoni C, Noviello A, Clementini M, Danesi C, Cozza P. Conventional versus laser gingivectomy in the management of gingival enlargement during orthodontic treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Orthod.* 2020;42(1):78-85. [\[DOI: 10.1093/ejo/cjz032\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 12. Kumar P, Rattan V, Rai S. Comparative evaluation of healing after gingivectomy with electrocautery and laser. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res.* 2015 ;5(2):69-74. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jobcr.2015.04.005\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 13. Sobouti F, Rakhshan V, Chiniforush N, Khatami M. Effects of laser-assisted cosmetic smile lift gingivectomy on postoperative bleeding and pain in fixed orthodontic patients: a controlled clinical trial. *Prog Orthod.* 2014 ; 15(1):66. [\[DOI: 10.1186/s40510-014-0066-5\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 14. Teymouri F, Farhad SZ, Golestaneh H. The Effect of Photodynamic Therapy and Diode Laser as Adjunctive Periodontal Therapy on the Inflammatory Mediators Levels in Gingival Crevicular Fluid and Clinical Periodontal Status. *J Dent (Shiraz).* 2016;17(3):226-32. [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 15. Tianmitrapap P, Srisuwantha R, Laosrisin N. Flapless Er,Cr:YSGG laser versus traditional flap in crown lengthening procedure. *J Dent Sci.* 2022 Jan;17(1):89-95. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jds.2021.05.004\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 16. Heidari M, Paknejad M, Jamali R, Nokhbatolfighahaei H, Fekrazad R, Moslemi N. Effect of laser photobiomodulation on wound healing and postoperative pain following free gingival graft: A split-mouth triple-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Photochem Photobiol B.* 2017;172:109-114. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2017.05.022\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 17. Xu D, Wang P, Liu H, Gu M. Efficacy of three surgical methods for gingivectomy of permanent anterior teeth with delayed tooth eruption in children. *Head Face Med.* 2022 ;18(1):23. [\[DOI: 10.1186/s13005-022-00328-z\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 18. Khan F, Chopra R, Sharma N, Agrawal E, Achom M, Sharma P. Comparative evaluation of the efficacy of diode laser as an adjunct to modified Widman flap surgery for the treatment of chronic periodontitis: A randomized split-mouth clinical trial. *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* 2021;25(3):213-219. [\[DOI: 10.4103/jisp.jisp.252_20\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 19. Iram Rafique Pawane, Sankari Malaipappan, Comparison of Flap Techniques for Crown Lengthening Procedures Using an All Tissue Laser (Waterlase Iplus®) and Conventional Surgical Flaps: A Cross Sectional Study. *J Res Med Dent Sci.* 2022;10 (11): 280-85. [\[Link\]](#)
 20. Clem D, Heard R, McGuire M, Scheyer ET, Richardson C, Toback G, Gwaltney C, Gunsolley JC. Comparison of Er,Cr:YSGG laser to minimally invasive surgical technique in the treatment of intrabony defects: Six-month results of a multicenter, randomized, controlled study. *J Periodontol.* 2021;92(4):496-506. [\[DOI: 10.1002/JPER.20-0028\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 21. Jonnalagadda BD, Gottumukkala SNVS, Dwarakanath CD, Koneru S. Effect of Diode Laser-assisted Flap Surgery on Postoperative Healing and Clinical Parameters: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2018;9(2):205-12. [\[DOI: 10.4103/ccd.ccd_810_17\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 22. Doğan ŞB, Akça G. Clinical Evaluation of Diode Laser-Assisted Surgical Periodontal Therapy: A Randomized Split-Mouth Clinical Trial and Bacteriological Study. *Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg.* 2022;40(9):646-55. [\[DOI: 10.1089/photob.2022.0035\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 23. Bechir ES. The Clinical and Microbiological Effects of LANAP Compared to Scaling and Root Planing Alone in the Management of Periodontal Conditions. *Diagnostics (Basel).* 2023;13(14):2450. [\[DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics13142450\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
 24. Zhou X, Lin M, Zhang D, Song Y, Wang Z. Efficacy of Er:YAG laser on periodontitis as an adjunctive non-surgical treatment: A split-mouth randomized controlled study. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2019;46(5):539-47. [\[DOI: 10.1111/jcpe.13107\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 25. Wang CW, Ashnagar S, Gianfilippo RD, Arnett M, Kinney J, Wang HL. Laser-assisted regenerative surgical therapy for peri-implantitis: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Periodontol.* 2021;92(3):378-88. [\[DOI: 10.1002/JPER.20-0040\]](#) [\[PMID\]](#)
 26. Ismail HH, Obeid M, Hassanien E. Efficiency of diode laser in control of post-endodontic pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Invest.* 2023;27(6):2797-804. [\[DOI: 10.1007/s00782-023-01000-0\]](#)

[10.1007/s00784-023-04864-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-023-04864-z)

27. Kaplan T, Sezgin GP, Sönmez Kaplan S. Effect of a 980-nm diode laser on post-operative pain after endodontic treatment in teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Oral Health*. 2021;21(1):41. [\[DOI: 10.1186/s12903-021-01401-w\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01401-w) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
28. Selvaganesh S, Gajendran PL, Nesappan T, Prabhu AR. Comparison of clinical efficacy of diode laser and erbium, chromium: Yttrium, scandium, gallium, and garnet for implant stage 2 recovery procedure - A randomized control clinical study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol*. 2021;25(4):335-40. [\[DOI: 10.4103/jisp.jisp_448_20\]](https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp_448_20) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
29. Tateno RY, Palma LF, Sendyk WR, Campos L. High-Power Diode Laser for Second-Stage Implant Surgery in an Anticoagulated Patient: A Clinical Case Letter. *J Oral Implantol*. 2021; 47 (2): 154-57. [\[DOI: 10.1563/aaidd-joi-D-20-00130\]](https://doi.org/10.1563/aaidd-joi-D-20-00130)
30. Isola G, Matarese M, Briguglio F, Grassia V, Picciolo G, Fiorillo L, Matarese G. Effectiveness of Low-Level Laser Therapy during Tooth Movement: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Materials (Basel)*. 2019;12(13):2187. [\[DOI: 10.3390/ma12132187\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12132187) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
31. Sfasciotti GL, Zara F, Voza I, Carocci V, Ierardo G, Polimeni A. Diode versus CO2 Laser Therapy in the Treatment of High Labial Frenulum Attachment: A Pilot Randomized, Double-Blinded Clinical Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(21):7708. [\[DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17217708\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217708) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
32. Singah P, Nath S. Diode laser versus conventional technique for frenectomy-A randomised controlled clinical trial. *Int J Appl Dent Sci*. 2019; 5(2):4-7. [\[Link\]](#)
33. Uraz A, Çetiner FD, Cula S, Guler B, Oztoprak S. Patient perceptions and clinical efficacy of labial frenectomies using diode laser versus conventional techniques. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2018;119(3):182-86. [\[DOI: 10.1016/j.jormas.2018.01.004\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jormas.2018.01.004) [\[PMID\]](#)
34. Öztürk Özener H, Meseli SE, Sezgin G, Kuru L. Clinical Efficacy of Conventional and Diode Laser-Assisted Frenectomy in Patients with Different Abnormal Frenulum Insertions: A Retrospective Study. *Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg*. 2020;38(9):565-70. [\[DOI: 10.1089/photob.2020.4841\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/photob.2020.4841) [\[PMID\]](#)
35. Madi M, Mahmoud MM. The evaluation of healing effect of low-level laser treatment following gingivectomy. *Beni-Suef Univ J Basic Appl Sci*. 2020;9:1-6. [\[DOI: 10.1186/s43088-020-00053-z\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-020-00053-z)
36. Chandra GB, VinayKumar MB, Walavalkar NN, Vandana KL, Vardhan PK. Evaluation of surgical scalpel versus semiconductor diode laser techniques in the management of gingival melanin hyperpigmentation: A split-mouth randomized clinical comparative study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol*. 2020;24(1):47-53. [\[DOI: 10.4103/jisp.jisp_186_19\]](https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp_186_19) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
37. Jnaid Harb ZK, El-Sayed W, Alkhabuli J. Gingival Depigmentation Using Diode 980 nm and Erbium-YAG 2940 nm Lasers: A Split-Mouth Clinical Comparative Study. *Int J Dent*. 2021 ;2021:9424793. [\[DOI: 10.1155/2021/9424793\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9424793) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
38. Jacob O, Kosala M, Panwar M. Evaluation of treatment outcome in gingival hyperpigmentation with scalpel Vis-a-Vis Laser: a split-mouth study. *Indian J Dent Sci*. 2021;13(4):251-9. [\[DOI: 10.4103/IJDS.IJDS_161_20\]](https://doi.org/10.4103/IJDS.IJDS_161_20)
39. Kohale BR, Agrawal AA, Raut CP. Effect of low-level laser therapy on wound healing and patients' response after scalpel gingivectomy: A randomized clinical split-mouth study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol*. 2018;22(5):419-26. [\[DOI: 10.4103/jisp.jisp_239_18\]](https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp_239_18) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
40. Onur SG. Evaluation of Pain Perception and Wound Healing After Laser-Assisted Frenectomy in Pediatric Patients: A Retrospective Comparative Study. *Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg*. 2021;39(3):204-10. [\[DOI: 10.1089/photob.2020.4886\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/photob.2020.4886) [\[PMID\]](#)
41. Sarmadi R, Gabre P, Thor A. Evaluation of upper labial frenectomy: A randomized, controlled comparative study of conventional scalpel technique and Er:YAG laser technique. *Clin Exp Dent Res*. 2021;7(4):522-30. [\[DOI: 10.1002/cre2.374\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.374) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
42. Yadav RK, Verma UP, Sajjanhar I, Tiwari R. Frenectomy with conventional scalpel and Nd:YAG laser technique: A comparative evaluation. *J Indian Soc Periodontol*. 2019;23(1):48-52. [\[DOI: 10.4103/jisp.jisp_352_18\]](https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp_352_18) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
43. Kamel S. One-stage vs two-stage implant placement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2018;56:e25. [\[Link\]](#)
44. Brånemark PI, Hansson BO, Adell R, Breine U, Lindström J, Hallén O, et al. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. Experience from a 10-year period. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg Suppl*. 1977;16:1-32. [\[PMID\]](#)
45. Di Stefano M, Polizzi A, Santonocito S, Romano A, Lombardi T, Isola G. Impact of Oral Microbiome in Periodontal Health and Periodontitis: A Critical Review on Prevention and Treatment. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022 May 5;23(9):5142. [\[DOI: 10.3390/ijms23095142\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23095142) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)
46. Sedghi LM, Bacino M, Kapila YL. Periodontal Disease: The Good, The Bad, and The Unknown. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2021;11:766944. [\[DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.766944\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.766944) [\[PMID\]](#) [\[PMCID\]](#)