

# Research Paper: Tendency, motivation, and barriers of orthodontic treatment in orthodontic patients in Rasht in 2018







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Postgraduate student, Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Babol University of Medical Science, Babol, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Postgraduate student, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran



**Citation:** Almasi A, Afsari E, Gholinia F, Alirezaei F, Abdolhoseini H. Tendency, motivation, and barriers of orthodontic treatment in orthodontic patients in Rasht in 2018. Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Pathology and Surgery. 2022; 11(4):12-17. http://dx.doi.org/

http://3dj.gums.ac.ir







Article info Received: 2022/10/18 Accepted: 2022/10/28

#### **Keywords:**

Beauty Motivation Humans

## **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** The number of people demanding orthodontic treatment for their psychosocial problems related to facial aesthetics is growing. The aim of this study was to evaluate tendency, motivation, and barriers of orthodontic treatment in patients were referred to orthodontic offices in Rasht in 2018.

**Materials and Methods:** This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted on 171 adults over 20 years of age who were referred to orthodontic clinics in Rasht in 2018. The data collection tool was a questionnaire designed based on other studies. The questionnaire consisted of 16 questions and three parts: personal characteristics, motivation for using orthodontics treatments, and questions about motivations, main obstacles, and the main causes of using orthodontic treatments.

**Results:** The level of motivation and tendency for orthodontic treatment was high. There was a direct and significant relationship between motivation and tendency to orthodontic treatment (p<0.001). Encouraging one to decide about orthodontic treatment was the first priority. Regarding common problems, the first problem was about the appearance after the treatment, and the second problem was the problems of chewing and eating which takes longer in these patients.

**Conclusion:** The motivation toward orthodontic treatment was high. The first motivation for seeking treatment was dissatisfaction with one's appearance and demanding a better appearance. The main orthodontic obstacles were high costs and visible orthodontic appliances.

#### \* Corresponding Author:

Ayda Almasi.

Address: Department of

Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Babol University of Medical Science,

Babol, Iran.

**Tel:** +98 - 9301808858 **E-mail:** aidaaal90@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Postgraduate student, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran



## Introduction

Physical attractiveness has a great effect on human life in various ways. Studies have shown that the face has a great impact on overall attractiveness.(1) Physically attractive people are considered more friendly, smarter, interesting, sociable, and with much more positive characteristics.(2, 3) Irregularities in teeth positions and jaws have a significant impact on smile aesthetics and attractiveness which can disrupt relationships, mental health, and social interactions and lead to negative feelings about oneself.(4). Orthodontists believe that straight teeth improve individuals' self-esteem and career opportunities.(5) Aging and its complications also affect facial attractiveness and because of change in facial muscles, cosmetic concerns with teeth irregularities in adults increases with the aging process. With the increasing awareness about oral health and developments in armamentarium and biometrics in orthodontics, not only children but also young adults have developed interest in performing orthodontics treatments (6,7,8) This trend is growing due to innovative cosmetics solutions in orthodontics appliances and brackets.(8, 9) Orthodontics appliances evolve based on public demand and technology aiming to improve patients' appearance.(8, 10) When planning an orthodontic treatment for adult patients, it should be considered that this population has a different experience with pathologies, psychological limitations and greater awareness of malocclusions.(11, 12) Orthodontics need to understand the expectations and difficulties and inform their clients.

Studies since 1980 have shown an increasing demand in 18+ individuals for orthodontics treatments. In 1988, between 60-70% of adults in the US and European countries sought these kinds of treatments.(12, 13) In the 90s, according to official data released by NHANES 3[1], only 41.1% of American adults had optimal overjet and deep bite and open bite were 49% and 3.3% respectively. In addition, upper and lower misalignments were 56% and 62.9% respectively. Similarly, 40 and 76% of adults in

Germany and Sweden had malocclusion. (10, 14) Most of the demand for orthodontics treatments comes from females who are referred by their family dentists.(15) Like every treatment, adult orthodontics has its indications and contraindications which must be carefully assessed. Indications are more stable and harmonious occlusive pattern, improvement of occlusal condition, improvement of teeth implantation in periodontal tissue, and satisfaction of the patients' esthetical needs. Contraindications for orthodontic treatments are severe skeletal discrepancies, systemic or local diseases, alveolar bone loss when the treatment might not fulfill expectations of orthodontics specialists, and lack of interest or motivation in the patient. According to literature, adults' dissatisfaction with their dental or facial appearance is the main reason to seek orthodontics treatments by them .(16)This population has higher demands based on the aesthetics aspects and are more concerned about the results.(11, 13) It seems many of these patients suffer from neurotic or unstable psychological profiles along with problems in self-esteem which cause greater expectations that some of them are not realistic. This suggests that tangible and clear results should be clarified to avoid future disappointment.(11, 14)

#### Materials and Methods

This descriptive cross-sectional study was performed in Rasht City, Iran in patients who were referred to orthodontics offices in 2018. The participants were selected through convenience sampling. The data gathering tool was Islamipour's Questioner, which measures motivation and willingness of patients towards orthodontics treatment. To examine the questionnaire reliability, a pilot study was conducted among 50 patients and Cronbach's alpha was calculated. Demographic data such as gender, age, education, parents' education, marital status, and motivational factors were collected. Encouraging and supporting people, the main problems in using orthodontics appliances, and the main purpose of doing orthodontics treatment were also gathered as data. The inclusion



criterion was patients over 20 years old; and syndromic patients and patients with a history of depression or using antidepressant drugs were excluded. Data analyses were done in SPSS (v.19) (P-value<5%).

#### Results

One hundred and ninety patients participated in this study with a mean age of  $28.56\pm7.86$  (20-60); 42.1% of the participants were men. (Table1)

Table1- Demographic information of the patients

		No.	Frequency
Age(year)	20-30	147	77.4
	30-40	26	13.7
	40-50	11	5.8
	50-60	6	3.2
Gender	Male	80	42.1
	Female	110	57.9
Education	Undergraduate	9	4.7
	Graduate	37	19.5
	Bachelor	110	57.9
	More	34	17.9
Marital status	Single	108	56.8
	Married	82	43.2
Father' education	Undergraduate	24	12.6
	Graduate	54	28.4
	Bachelor	79	41.6
	Higher	33	17.4
Mother' education	Undergraduate	27	14.4
	Graduate	83	44.1
	Bachelor	62	33
	Higher	16	8.5

The mean motivational score was estimated high based on the questions No1-8 (19.5±3.58). The mean willingness was also estimated high by the questions No.9 -12 (8.81±2.4).

There was not any correlation between age and motivation and willingness (P<0.05). There was a significant correlation between motivation and willingness toward orthodontics treatment (p<0.0001, r=0.5).

There was not any relationship between motivation mean score (p=0.347) and

willingness (p=0.354) with gender. The mean motivational score had a significant relationship with the educational level (p=0.040) but not with willingness (p=0.109).

Participants with a bachelors' degree or higher had a significantly higher motivation than other groups (p=0.045). (Table2)

Table 2. problems with orthodontics appliance

	Problems	number	frequency
Priority no.1	It makes me ugly	50	26.3
	It is annoying	38	20
	It causes damage and discomfort to the mouth and teeth	28	14.7
	It makes brushing difficult so that it takes longer	25	13.2
	It makes eating difficult so that it takes longer	20	10
	All the above	20	10.5
	It does not cause any problem	10	5.3
	Total	190	100
Priority no.2	It makes me ugly and funny	9	5.5
	It is annoying	38	23
	It causes damage and discomfort in the mouth and teeth	24	14.5
	It makes brushing difficult and long	34	20.6
	It makes eating difficult and long	40	24.2
	All the above	17	10.3
	It does not cause any problem	3	1.8
	Total	165	100

Table3: Main reasons for performing orthodontics treatments

	Reasons	Number	Frequency
Priority no.1	Dental protrusion	37	19.5
	Irregular teeth	90	47.4
	prognathism	11	5.8
	Dental and face asymmetry	7	3.7
	Dental crowding	7	3.7
	Aesthetics	1	0.5
	Spacing	4	2.1
	Mutilation	4	2.1
	Increased self esteem	17	8.9



	Reasons	Number	Frequency
Priority no.1	Dentists' recommendation	4	2.1
	Friends or family recommendation	4	2.1
	Chewing problems	4	2.1
	Total	190	100
	Dental protrusion	10	5.3
	Irregular teeth	34	18
Priority no.2	prognathism	23	12.2
	Dental and face asymmetry	13	6.9
	Dental crowding	11	5.8
	Aesthetics	8	4.2
	Spacing	9	4.8
	Improvement of self-esteem	53	28.
	Dentist recommendation	16	8.5
	Friends or family recommendation	3	1.6
	Chewing problems	6	3.2
	TMJ joint problems	3	1.6
	Total	189	100

In the case of male participants, the main obstacles were "becoming ugly" or "it is annoying" and for the female participants, the main obstacles were "becoming ugly" and "damage and dental discomfort." There was a significant difference between men and women in terms of the main obstacles (p=0.0003).

The second priorities for the male participants were "it makes brushing harder and longer," and "it is annoying." For the women, "it makes eating harder and longer," and "it is annoying" were the second priorities. There was not any significant difference between male and female participants in terms of the demographics (P=0.278).

The top incentive for orthodontic treatment in men and women was "myself and parents" which had a significant relationship (p=0.011).

The main obstacles towards orthodontics treatment according to the men were "it makes me ugly" and "it is annoying;" for women "it makes me funny," "annoying," or "it causes damage and dental discomfort" were the main obstacles. There was not any significant difference between men and women in this

regard P=0.417.

The second obstacles were orthodontic appliances visibility and long duration of treatment and there were not any relationships between the groups (P=0.815).

For men and women, dental protrusions and irregular teeth were the main reasons towards orthodontic treatment. There was not any significant relationship between men and women (P=0.247). Improvement in self-esteem and irregular teeth were the second reasons for treatment in men and women. There was not any significant relationship between men and women in this regard (P=0.912).

Analysis showed that there were not any differences between the participants in terms of the first and second priorities (p=0.9 & p=0.6) in terms of education level.

As for the two main orthodontic obstacles, there was no significant difference between the participants in terms of education level.

## **Discussion**

The results showed the motivation for orthodontic treatment. The first motivation for seeking treatment was dissatisfaction with one's appearance and preferring a more attractive appearance.(17)

There was not any significant relationship between age and motivation (p>0.05). Kim et al. compared adults in their 20s with individuals in their 40s and 50s and showed that the first group had a lower motivation for orthodontics treatment (p<0.05). The different results can be explained by the larger sample size and more patients above 40s. In Kim et al., all patients referred to dental clinics were included regardless of their need for orthodontic treatment, which explains the low motivation for treatment .(18)

There was not any significant relationship between the mean motivation score (p=0.347) and willingness score (p=0.347) in men and women. These findings are consistent with Eslamipour et al. and Moeni et al. who studied the effects of awareness about the impact



of teeth in facial beauty on girls' willingness towards orthodontics treatment.(17) On the other hand and unlike women, men had less willingness toward orthodontics treatment.(19)

There was a significant relationship between motivation and willingness to have orthodontic treatment so that the higher the motivation, the stronger the willingness (P<0.001 r=0.529).

In this study, the willingness towards orthodontics was high; however, Eslamipour et al., Pabari et al., and McKiernan et al. estimated a moderate willingness. (15,17,20) This difference can be explained based on different sample sizes and patient selection.

The most important incentives toward treatment were "myself (53.7%) and my parents (24.2%)," which is consistent with Eslamipor et al. and Amnaei et al.(17,21)

Simis et al. showed that social group pressure and parents had the most important role towards orthodontic treatment in the Netherlands. (22) Fayyazmonfared et al. showed that parents and dentists; recommendations were more important than friends.(23)

The main orthodontics obstacles in this study were high costs (65.3%) and visible orthodontics appliances (26.6%). Consistent with our findings, kim et al. and Loathing et al. reported high costs and long duration of treatment as the major obstacles towards treatment.(24)

#### Conclusion

The demand for oral and dental health services is growing and orthodontic treatments have growing popularity in the world. Patients' motivation and experts' opinions are two important elements in using such treatments. The motivation toward orthodontic treatment was high. The top motivation for seeking treatment was dissatisfaction with the face and desiring a better look. The main orthodontics were high costs and visible orthodontic appliances.

## Acknowledgments

None

#### Authors' contributions

Ayda Almasi: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing Erfaneh Afsari: Resources, Investigation, Visualization Faegheh Gholinia: Methodology, Visualization Fatemeh Alirezaei: Writing - Original Draft, Data Curation Hosein Abdolhoseini: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical declarations

IR.GUMS.REC.1397.147

Financial support

None

### Availability of data and material

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

## References

- 1. Ong E, Brown RA, Richmond S. Peer assessment of dental attractiveness. American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics. 2006;130(2):163-9.
- 2. Helm S, Kreiborg S, Solow B. Psychosocial implications of malocclusion: a 15-year follow-up study in 30-year-old Danes. American journal of orthodontics. 1985;87(2):110-8.
- 3. Tung AW, Kiyak HA. Psychological influences on the timing of orthodontic treatment. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 1998;113(1):29-39.
- 4. Nanda RS, Ghosh J, editors. Facial soft tissue harmony and growthin orthodontic treatment. Seminars in orthodontics: 1995: Elsevier.
- 5. Borzabadi-Farahani A. A review of the oral health-related evidence that supports the orthodontic treatment need indices. Progress in Orthodontics 2012 Nov;13(3):314-25. PubMed PMID: 23260543. Epub 2012/12/25. eng.
- 6. Kaur M, Garg RK, Singla S. Analysis of facial soft tissue changes with aging and their effects on facial morphology: A forensic perspective. Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences. 2015;5(2):46-56.
- 7. Tauheed S, Shaikh A. Correlation of pain perception and motivation to seek orthodontic treatment. Pakistan Orthodontic Journal. 2012;4(1):24-8.
- 8. Patel D, Mehta F, Mehta N. Aesthetic orthodontics: an overview. Orthodontic Journal of Nepal. 2014;4(2):38-43.



- 9. Feu D, Catharino F, Duplat CB, Capelli Junior J. Esthetic perception and economic value of orthodontic appliances by lay Brazilian adults. Dental Press Journal of Orthodontics. 2012;17:102-14.
- 10. Buttke TM, Proffit WR. Referring adult patients for orthodontic treatment. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 1999;130(1):73-9.
- 11. Capelloza Filho L, Braga SA, Cavassan AdO, Ozawa TO. Tratamento ortodôntico em adultos: uma abordagem direcionada. Revista dental press de ortodontia e ortopedia maxilar. 2001:63-80.
- 12. Oliveira PGdSA, Tavares RR, Freitas JCd. Assessment of motivation, expectations and satisfaction of adult patients submitted to orthodontic treatment. Dental press journal of orthodontics. 2013;18:81-7.
- 13. Khan R, Horrocks E. A study of adult orthodontic patients and their treatment. British journal of orthodontics. 1991;18(3):183-94.
- 14. Proffit WR, Fields Jr H, Moray L. Prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in the United States: estimates from the NHANES III survey. The International journal of adult orthodontics and orthognathic surgery. 1998;13(2):97-106.
- 15. Pabari S, Moles DR, Cunningham SJ. Assessment of motivation and psychological characteristics of adult orthodontic patients. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2011;140(6):e263-e72.
- 16. Tayer BH, Burek MJ. A survey of adults' attitudes toward orthodontic therapy. American Journal of Orthodontics. 1981;79(3):305-15.
- 17. Eslamipour F, Asgari I, Khosroabadi B. Assessment of motivation and tendency in patients with malocclusion to refer to professional care centers in Isfahan. 2015.
- 18. Kim Y. Study on the perception of orthodontic treatment according to age: A questionnaire survey. The korean journal of orthodontics. 2017;47(4):215-21.
- 19. Karimi-Afshar M, Torabi M, Safarian F, Dehghan MA, Karimi-Afshar M. Effect of orthodontic treatments on quality of life in adolescents. Journal of Oral Health and Oral Epidemiology. 2018;7(4):198-204.
- 20. McKiernan E, McKiernan F, Jones M. Psychological profiles and motives of adults seeking orthodontic treatment. The International journal of adult orthodontics and orthognathic surgery. 1992;7(3):187-98.
- 21. Amnaie A, Nasiri M, Adib M, Shirvani A. Relationship between self-concept and satisfaction with tooth alignment and demand for orthodontic treatment and professionally determined orthodontic needs. Journal of Isfahan dental university.. 2012:656-61.
- 22. Simis KJ, Koot HM, Verhulst FC, Hovius SER. Assessing adolescents and young adults for plastic surgical intervention: pre-surgical appearance ratings and appearance-related burdens as reported by adolescents

- and young adults, parents and surgeons. British Journal of Plastic Surgery. 2000 2000/10/01/;53(7):593-600.
- 23. Fayyaz Monfared H, Hamzeh M. Assessment of the Frequency of the Need and Demand for Orthodontic Treatment Among Kerman Guidance School's Pupils, 2005-2006. Journal of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences. 2007;6(1):67-76.
- 24. Laothong W, Cheng H-C. Comparison of factors affecting orthodontic treatment motivation of Taiwanese and Thai patients in two hospitals. Journal of Dental Sciences. 2017 2017/12/01/;12(4):396-404.