Pathologic Conditions Associated with Impacted Mandibular Third molar Angulation in an Iranian Population
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Abstract

Introduction:
The aim of this study was to determine the associations between commonly found pathologic conditions and angulation of the lower third molar teeth.

Materials and methods:
In this retrospective study, 370 panoramic radiographs consisting of 724 lower third molars were evaluated for any pathologies according to their angulation (mesioangular, distoangular, vertical, or horizontal). The data were analyzed using chi-squared tests, and a P value of \( P \leq 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Results:
In total, 83.6% of the cases had at least one complication. It was ascertained that mesioangular and vertical impactions had higher risks for peri-coronitis and caries development on second molar, while horizontal and distoangular impactions had lower risks. There was a significant relationship between the frequency of third molar impaction and the type of angulation.

Conclusion:
Mesioangular and vertical impactions, especially those with class A impaction depth, were found to be associated with a higher risk of pathologic conditions. To decide whether to extract or follow up on an impacted third molar, the angulation and impaction depth should be taken into consideration.
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Introduction

An increasing incidence of impacted third molars has been reported in the literature.\(^{(1,2)}\) Although the justification for the prophylactic removal of asymptomatic third molars is controversial among dental practitioners, third molar removal is the most frequent treatment decision a general dentist may encounter due to the high impaction rate.\(^{(1-5)}\) An oral surgeon usually follows decision-making guidelines when making removal decisions, but the referring general practitioners often experience confusion in deciding whether or not to advise a patient to retain asymptomatic wisdom teeth or to remove them. Although some other countries have devised ethical guidelines, no guidelines have been developed in Iran at the time this study was conducted.\(^{(3,4)}\)

Not every impacted third molar causes a clinical problem, and an unknown percentage of unerupted third molars may remain asymptomatic for years.\(^{(3)}\) However, the data on the prevalence of clinical pathologic conditions for retained third molars are limited. An unerupted or partially erupted tooth can cause mild to severe symptoms.\(^{(1)}\) Pericoronitis, pain, swelling, infection, distal carious lesions, bone loss, root resorption, and follicular diseases including cysts and tumors are some of the symptoms and pathological conditions associated with incompletely erupted third molars.\(^{(1,6)}\)

Because treatment decisions concerning mandibular third molar removal have important clinical and cost implications related to the possible risks and morbidities resulting from surgery\(^{(5)}\), we decided to investigate associated symptoms and pathological conditions related to the angulation of impacted mandibular third molars. Moreover, we desired to present a practical guideline for Iranian dental health practitioners.

Materials and Methods

The sample included 370 panoramic radiographs consisting of 724 mandibular third molars, which had been obtained from healthy patients referred to the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences oral radiology department for any reason between January 2012 and July 2012. In this study, 221 females and 149 males (aged 18–55 years) were evaluated. Each patient was investigated for eight pathologic conditions. Root resorption, bone loss, and caries of the distal second molar; caries of the third molar; osteitis; and follicular space abnormalities were detected using panoramic radiographs. The symptoms of referred pain and pericoronitis were evaluated clinically for each individual. All clinical and radiographic investigations were performed by an expert oral and maxillofacial radiologist and a dental student separately.

The third molar inclination was determined using Winter’s method,\(^{(8)}\) measuring the anterior angle made between the occlusal plane of the first and second premolars and a line drawn through the occlusal surface of the third molar. Data obtained from the panoramic radiographs were classified as follows: vertical (V), mesioangular (M), or distoangular (D); horizontal (H) and inverted (I) impactions.

Statistical analysis of the study data was performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SPSS version 13) using chi-squared tests. Inter-observer reliability was assessed as \(\kappa=0.86\).

Results

Out of 370 subjects, 149 (40.3%) were males and 221 (59.7%) were females. The mean age of the patients was 22.61±1.5 years.

Using 370 panoramic radiographs, 724 mandibular third molars were evaluated, and 605 had at least one complication. Out of 605 mandibular third molars with complications, 175 (28.93%) were erupted, 222 (36.69%) were partially erupted, and 208 (34.38%) were impacted (Table 1). No significant difference in the eruption status of the teeth was observed between male and female participants (\(p=0.328\)); however, there was a significant difference in the eruption status when the age groups were compared (\(p=0.000\)).

Of the mandibular third molars, 324 (53.55%) were mesioangular, 182 (30.08%) were vertical, 81 (13.39%) were horizontal, and 18 (2.98%) were distoangular impactions (Table 2). The mesioangular and vertical impactions had significantly higher frequencies than other impactions (\(p=0.000\). No significant difference was found between age groups or genders in terms of angulation (\(p=0.48\)). Mesioangular and vertical impactions had significantly higher frequencies than other impactions when evaluating pericoronitis and caries on thesecond
Table 1. number of teeth presenting with pathologies according to eruption status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Erupted</th>
<th>Partially erupted</th>
<th>Impacted</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pericoronitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd molar caries</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd molar bone loss</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd molar caries</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root resorption</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteitis</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular space</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. number of teeth presenting with pathologies according to type of angulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angulation</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pericoronitis</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd molar caries</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd molar bone loss</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd molar caries</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root resorption</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteitis</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular space</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Studies have demonstrated that, for the removal of asymptomatic mandibular third molars, there are no systematic guidelines for decision-making that general dental practitioners or oral surgeons can use. Some authors believe that, because of the risks of surgery and postoperative complications, and the costs of redundant removal of impacted third molars, there is insufficient evidence to support the prophylactic removal of these teeth. Patient age, impaction depth, and angulation of mandibular third molars have been the main factors studied that have been found to predominantly influence extraction decisions and predict extraction difficulty in several previous studies.

Partially erupted third molars have been found to have a higher occurrence of symptoms than complete or unerupted third molars. A 5-year follow-up of untreated, partially impacted mandibular third molars confirmed that they are more subjected to complications. In our study, partially erupted third molars showed higher degrees of pericoronitis and osteitis, while caries on second and third molars were higher among erupted molars. Bone loss and root resorption were higher in impacted molars. We found that pathological conditions are significantly related to erupted or impacted third molars, which calls attention to all types of eruption status, as opposed to past studies that were primarily concerned with partially erupted molars.

The correlation between the angular positioning of mandibular third molars and relative pathoses has been reported by many authors. Mesially inclined third molars have been suggested to be associated with more frequent complications. Knutsson and Rohlin concluded that most third molars associated with pathoses were either in a vertical or mesioangular position. The frequency of mesioangular positioning in the present study was 54%, which was higher than that reported by other studies. In the present study, pericoronitis (p=0.000), second molar caries (p=0.000), third molar caries (p=0.000), bone loss (p=0.000), and root resorption (p=0.02) of the second molar were significantly higher among mesioangular molars compared to other angulations; however, this might...
be due to the fact that such type of impaction has a higher incidence of occurrence. Some studies have suggested that the correlation between elevated risk of pathoses and the magnitude of postoperative complications for impacted third molars increases with age. Many impacted third molars can alter their position and erupt by the middle of the third decade of life. In the present study, all complications were compared using two age groups. There was a significant increase observed in the rates of carious lesions in the second and third molars in the younger group (p=0.000). The probability of developing pathologic conditions for mandibular third molars determines the decision to remove them. Due to regional and socio-economic variations, the age determined appropriate to remove impacted teeth may vary. Recent studies suggest that the incidence of pathoses associated with retained third molars is low; therefore, the most appropriate treatment for asymptomatic impacted third molars in young adults might be observation instead of prophylactic removal. In contrast, the majority of cases (83.6%) in our study illustrated at least one pathological condition. This is not in agreement with previous studies, and suggests that previously reported guidelines in removal decision-making need methodical revisions in economically developing countries such as Iran.

In the present study, the population consisted of patients referred to the radiology department for any reason, including surgical removal of third molars. Although our study has some bias in this regard, obtaining radiographs from the general population to create a random sample was not approved by the ethical committee.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, angulation of third molars should be taken into consideration when making a decision on prophylactic extraction. Prophylactic removal of mesioangular and vertical third molars is recommended with all forms of eruption status, particularly in females.
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